

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_112699
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital Literacy and Preservice Teachers Post Covid-19
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript addresses a crucial issue regarding the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on educational institutions and the necessity of sustaining digital technology infrastructure for future disruptions. The topic holds significance for educators, policymakers, and stakeholders in the education sector globally, making it important for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title effectively captures the essence of the article by highlighting its focus on the challenges faced by educational institutions post-Covid-19. However, it could be enhanced for clarity and specificity.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides key points, including the impact of Covid-19 on education, the role of digital technologies, and the importance of sustaining investments in these technologies. However, the abstract should include the specific findings or recommendations from the study.</p> <p>4. subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate; the author could enhance by providing specific themes or arguments.</p> <p>5. The manuscript presents a coherent argument regarding the necessity of digital literacy for preservice teachers in adapting to remote teaching modes post-Covid-19. The claims made regarding the challenges faced by teachers and the importance of technology competencies are supported by references to relevant research studies and literature.</p> <p>6. more than 70% of the reference are recent. To improve it the author can think about to include the more recent reference that specifically focus on the the long-term implication of the pandemic on the digital technology adoption in education and teachers' training.</p>	<p>2. The title has been changed.</p> <p>3. The findings and recommendations have been added to the abstract.</p> <p>4. Please specify the sections in the paper where we should incorporate the "themes and arguments" suggested by the reviewer.</p> <p>5. We seek clarification regarding comment #6. This paper comprises 22 articles, with sixteen recent publications (in or after 2020) and six articles published before 2020 referenced for background information. We believe this paper references a substantial percentage of recently published works (approximately 73%). Please kindly specify the areas where additional recently published papers are required.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language of the article is generally suitable for the scholarly communication; however the proofreading is required.</p>	<p>This paper has been carefully proofread.</p>
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>While the manuscript addresses an important topic and presents a comprehensive discussion, there are areas for improvement identified, including the need for further clarity in the title , as well as the incorporation of more recent references to strengthen the scholarly foundation. With minor revisions addressing these aspects, the manuscript has the potential to be suitable for publication.</p>	<p>The title has been changed.</p> <p>We seek clarification regarding the suggestion to add more recent references to the paper. Please refer to our previous response for additional information.</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No. There are no ethical issues in this manuscript